



Educating Generation Alpha in the Digital Age: Challenges, Pedagogical Strategies, and the Crucial Role of Parental Involvement

Adinda Putri

Amanah

UIN Sunan Kalijaga Yogyakarta,
INDONESIA

Roy

Venketsamy

University of KwaZulu-Natal,
SOUTH AFRICA

Fonny Dameaty

Hutagalung

Universiti Malaya,
MALAYSIA

Muhamad Aditya

Hidayah

Prince of Songkla University,
THAILAND

Article Info

Article history:

Received: May 1, 2025

Revised: June 5, 2025

Accepted: June 16, 2025

Keywords:

Generation alpha;
Digital age;
Pedagogical strategies;
Parenting involvement.

Abstract

Background of Study: Generation Alpha, born between 2010 and 2025, is growing up in an era of rapid technological advancement, influencing their cognitive, social, and emotional development. The shift to a digital world requires a reassessment of educational strategies to meet the unique needs of this generation.

Aims and Scope of Paper: This study aims to explore the educational challenges and strategies for Generation Alpha, particularly in adapting traditional teaching methods to align with their digital immersion. It also focuses on identifying key factors influencing their development, such as screen time regulation, emotional support, and parental involvement.

Methods: A qualitative approach was used, gathering data through surveys and interviews with parents, educators, and experts on Generation Alpha. A systematic literature review was conducted to complement primary data collection.

Results: Findings revealed that Generation Alpha requires personalized, adaptive educational strategies. The necessity of regulating screen time, fostering emotional and cognitive growth, and developing 21st-century competencies like digital literacy was emphasized. However, challenges such as rule-following issues, social-emotional development delays, and communication gaps between home and school were highlighted. Additionally, misalignment between parental values and educational objectives posed significant barriers to effective education.

Conclusion: This study underscores the need for educational strategies that integrate technology with emotional and character development. It highlights the importance of cultural relevance, strong parent-school partnerships, and the reimagining of educational success in preparing Generation Alpha for the future. Effective education requires contextualized, collaborative approaches to support the holistic development of this generation.

This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/) ©2025 by author/s

* Corresponding author:

Adinda Putri Amanah

Department of Islamic Early Childhood Education

UIN Sunan Kalijaga Yogyakarta, INDONESIA.

Email: adindasoobin@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

The transition into the digital era has significantly influenced nearly all aspects of human life, including education [1], [1], [2], [3], [4], [5]. One of the most tangible impacts of technological advancement is the emergence of Generation Alpha – children born between 2010 and 2025 [6], [7], [8], [9], [10]. This generation is recognized as the first to be born and raised alongside

advanced technology and the internet. As true digital natives, they are accustomed and exposed to rapid information flow, smart devices, and virtual communication. Therefore, this phenomena introduces new dynamics for the education of children, in which conventional approaches are no longer entirely applicable, but requires rethinking, reimagining, and re-transformation [7], [11].

Unlike previous generations, Generation Alpha exhibits distinct characteristics such as high dependency on technology, a preference for visual and fast-paced learning, and a tendency toward interactive learning models [7]. Despite their significant potential in terms of technological adaptation and creativity, they also face serious challenges, including reduced social interaction, gadget addiction, developmental issues such as speech delays, and low tolerance for long-term processes or effort [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], [18], [19], [20], [21], [21], [22], [23], [24], [25]. Therefore, educating Generation Alpha requires pedagogical strategies that are not only innovative but also holistic—strategies that integrate technology, character education, and active parental involvement [26], [27], [28], [29].

Previous studies have addressed the educational challenges of the digital era and the importance of mastering 21st-century skills [30], [31], [32], [33], [34], [35], [36]. However, most of these studies have focused primarily on the use of technology in learning or on academic outcomes alone. The gap identified in the existing literature is the lack of comprehensive discussion that integrates pedagogical strategies, behavioral challenges of children in the digital era, and the active role of parents as primary caregivers at home. Furthermore, few studies specifically explore educational approaches for Generation Alpha within the context of local culture and distinctive social dynamics, particularly in Indonesia.

To address this gap, the present study offers a novel contribution by proposing an integrative approach to understanding Generation Alpha's education. This approach emphasizes three interrelated aspects: the developmental challenges faced by children in the digital age, adaptive pedagogical strategies, and parental involvement as key partners in education. The focus of this research goes beyond academic achievement, encompassing character building and the reinforcement of social values in children's daily lives. As such, the findings are expected to serve as a valuable reference for educators, parents, and policymakers in designing more relevant and effective educational approaches for Generation Alpha.

Given the current dynamics, it is essential to further explore how suitable educational approaches can be applied to Generation Alpha, what the main challenges are for parents and teachers, and how collaboration between schools and families can be strengthened to support children's optimal development amid the complexities of the digital age.

METHOD

Research Design

This study involved six participants, consisting of early childhood educators and parents of children aged 5–10 years, who represent Generation Alpha. Participants were selected based on their direct engagement in the educational processes of Generation Alpha in a digital environment.

Participant

The target population comprised early childhood education practitioners and parents of Generation Alpha children in urban areas of Indonesia. The sampling technique used was purposive sampling, with inclusion criteria including active involvement in early education and familiarity with digital parenting or educational technology. This method was appropriate for identifying individuals with relevant knowledge and experience on the topic.

Data Analysis

Data were analyzed using thematic analysis following Braun and Clarke's six-step framework: familiarization, initial coding, theme generation, reviewing themes, defining themes, and reporting [37]. Thematic saturation was used as an indicator of data sufficiency.

Data Collection

Data were collected through semi-structured interviews, designed to explore participants' understanding, experiences, and perspectives regarding the education of Generation Alpha in the digital age. The interview protocol was developed based on relevant literature and adapted to the local context, covering four main themes: understanding of Generation Alpha, educational strategies, challenges encountered, and environmental or parental factors influencing the educational process.

Interviews were conducted throughout 2024, with each session lasting approximately 20 to 30 minutes. Depending on participants' preferences and availability, interviews were held either face-to-face or online. Prior to the interviews, all participants received an informed consent form and a participant information sheet, which they reviewed and signed.

All interviews were audio-recorded with participants' consent, and subsequently transcribed verbatim for analysis purposes. Transcriptions were completed by the lead researcher and verified by a research assistant to ensure accuracy and fidelity to participants' original statements.

To maintain ethical standards and confidentiality, participants' identities were anonymized using coded identifiers, and all data were used exclusively for academic purposes. The interviews were conducted in the Indonesian language to ensure that participants could express their thoughts comfortably and in depth.

Data collection continued until thematic saturation was achieved, which was indicated by the point at which no new themes or categories emerged from the interviews. This served as a benchmark for data sufficiency in addressing the research objectives.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results and Discussion

Generation Alpha refers to children born between 2010 and 2025 who are growing up in an era of rapid technological advancement. Respondents in this study demonstrated a clear understanding of Generation Alpha as digital natives, exposed to smart devices and the internet from an early age. Unlike previous generations, these children are immersed in the digital world, which profoundly influences how they think, learn, and interact [38]. This generational shift demands a reevaluation of traditional educational approaches to align with the unique characteristics and developmental needs of Generation Alpha.

Respondents emphasized the necessity for educational strategies that are adaptive and personalized. One of the primary approaches is regulating screen time according to the child's age, not only by limiting use but also by ensuring parental supervision and contextual understanding of the media consumed [2]. Respondents further suggested fostering children's interests and talents from an early age and attending to six developmental domains: physical, cognitive, language, emotional-social, spiritual, and artistic [5]. Understanding children's personality traits and "love languages" also emerged as a crucial component for meaningful engagement in education [39]. Moreover, preparing Generation Alpha for the future entails developing 21st-century competencies such as critical thinking, communication, collaboration, and digital literacy [32], [40], [41], [42], [43], [44].

Despite these strategies, respondents reported significant challenges in educating Generation Alpha. A major issue is difficulty in rule-following and a lack of appreciation for effort and process. Children in this generation often appear more relaxed or indifferent toward structured routines, making it harder for parents and educators to instill discipline and

persistence [9]. Excessive gadget use also contributes to a decline in face-to-face interactions, resulting in limited social-emotional skills and delayed speech development in some children [3]. These concerns are in line with earlier findings that digital immersion can hinder social learning and delay developmental milestones if not managed appropriately [45].

Another theme that emerged from the data was the influence of external environmental factors that hinder the education of Generation Alpha. Respondents noted that inadequate parental involvement, particularly in character education, contributes to weak value formation in children. Furthermore, exposure to non-educational media content and advertisements can lead to behavior modeling that is inconsistent with educational goals [34]. A critical concern expressed by respondents was the breakdown of trust between parents and teachers. Some parents tended to side with their children over educators when conflicts occurred, thereby undermining collaborative educational efforts [33].

The gap in home-school communication highlights the urgent need for stronger parental engagement. Literature emphasizes that family-school partnerships significantly influence students' academic and behavioral outcomes [46]. However, many parents in the digital age face challenges in navigating their dual roles as caregivers and digital gatekeepers [47]. Structured parenting programs and workshops focusing on digital literacy and emotional intelligence may help bridge this competency gap [48].

Moreover, the study highlights a significant inconsistency in values transmitted at home and at school. Respondents revealed that misalignment between parental values and educational objectives often creates confusion for children. This inconsistency weakens character formation and may affect motivation and behavior. Therefore, schools must take proactive steps to harmonize educational goals with parents through regular dialogue, collaborative planning, and shared responsibilities.

In addition to behavioral and institutional challenges, the definition of educational success for Generation Alpha may also need to be reimagined. Traditional academic achievements are no longer sufficient indicators of holistic growth. Instead, there should be a greater emphasis on fostering emotional regulation, ethical reasoning, social cooperation, and responsible technology use [49]. These competencies are increasingly essential in preparing children for a rapidly evolving and uncertain future.

Another noteworthy finding is the importance of cultural and contextual relevance in educating Generation Alpha. Most existing frameworks are designed in Western contexts and may not fully address the unique socio-cultural dynamics of countries like Indonesia. As such, this study adds novelty by exploring educational strategies tailored to Generation Alpha in the Indonesian context—highlighting the integration of religious values, family systems, and communal learning traditions as distinctive components that must be retained and enhanced [50].

In summary, this research underscores the multifaceted nature of educating Generation Alpha in the digital era. It affirms that conventional, one-size-fits-all approaches are insufficient or inappropriate to accommodate Generation Alpha in a rapidly evolving system. Instead, effective education for this generation requires contextualized, integrative strategies that blend technology with emotional support, character building, and active parental involvement. Furthermore, education content should capture the attention of the learner with the first few minutes of the lesson, similar to TikTok gratification which is quick, caters to the lowest attention span, and keep General Alpha in their comfort zones.

Implications

The findings of this study carry significant implications for educational practices, particularly in the context of Generation Alpha. As digital natives, these children require teaching methods that are adaptive, engaging, and personalized. Traditional approaches are no longer adequate to address their developmental needs and learning behaviors shaped by technology. Educators must integrate emotional support, character development, and digital literacy into the curriculum to foster holistic growth. Moreover, the role of parents as active partners in education

is more crucial than ever. Strong collaboration between schools and families is necessary to ensure consistency in values and to support children's behavioral and emotional development. Policymakers should also consider these insights when designing educational policies that align with the socio-cultural realities of modern learners.

Research Contribution

This research contributes to the existing body of knowledge by offering a culturally contextualized understanding of how to educate Generation Alpha in Indonesia. While most literature on this topic is based on Western contexts, this study highlights unique aspects such as the integration of religious values, family systems, and communal learning traditions. It also introduces the significance of recognizing children's personality traits and love languages in shaping effective and meaningful educational strategies. Furthermore, this study emphasizes the importance of redefining educational success by moving beyond academic achievement to include emotional regulation, ethical reasoning, and digital responsibility. These insights provide a fresh perspective for educators and researchers alike in understanding the evolving needs of learners in the digital age.

Limitations

Despite its valuable insights, this study has several limitations. The most notable is the small sample size – only six participants – which restricts the generalizability of the findings. The research was also conducted in a specific cultural context, limiting its applicability to other regions with different socio-cultural backgrounds. Additionally, the qualitative nature of the study provides rich, descriptive data but does not allow for statistical analysis or causal inference. Another limitation is the absence of children's voices in the data. Since the perspectives were solely gathered from educators and parents, the direct experiences and insights of Generation Alpha children themselves were not explored.

Suggestions

Future research should consider including a larger and more diverse group of participants, as well as incorporating the voices of children to gain a more comprehensive understanding of their needs and experiences. There is also a pressing need for structured parenting programs that focus on digital literacy, emotional intelligence, and collaborative parenting strategies. Schools should establish stronger home-school communication systems to foster trust and cooperation between teachers and parents. Moreover, education policymakers and curriculum developers are encouraged to design learning frameworks that are both technologically relevant and culturally grounded. Lastly, there should be a shift in the way educational success is evaluated, emphasizing not only cognitive achievements but also character development and the ability to thrive in a digitally saturated world.

CONCLUSION

This study emphasizes that educating Generation Alpha requires a contextual, adaptive, and holistic approach, considering their unique characteristics as digital natives growing up in a high-tech environment. Traditional educational strategies are no longer sufficient; an approach is needed that combines the wise use of technology with the reinforcement of character values, emotional support, and active involvement from both parents and schools. Successful education for Generation Alpha must address six aspects of child development, understand the child's personality and affection needs, and instill 21st-century competencies such as digital literacy, critical thinking, and social cooperation. On the other hand, major challenges such as reduced social interaction, communication gaps between home and school, and value misalignment between parents and educational institutions present serious barriers. This finding also highlights the importance of cultural and local context relevance when designing curricula and teaching

methods, especially in countries like Indonesia, which has strong religious and familial values. Therefore, the success of educating Generation Alpha demands synergy between technology, cultural values, and collaborative relationships between home and school.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT

APA conceptualized the study. RV designed the methodology. FDH conducted the systematic literature review. MAH contributed to the data analysis and interpretation of the results. Both authors were involved in drafting and revising the manuscript and approved the final version for submission.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Faeruz *et al.*, "Child Educational Content on Digital Folklore 'Pak Lebai Malang': A Qualitative Content Analysis," *Al-ATHFAL J EDUC CHILD*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 111-122, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.14421/al-athfal.2021.72-02.
- [2] M. Fitri and M. Abdul Latif, "Adaptive Learning for Early Childhood Education during the COVID-19 Pandemic in Aceh Jaya District: Online vs. Offline," *Al-ATHFAL J EDUC CHILD*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 27-38, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.14421/al-athfal.2021.71-03.
- [3] M. Rashid Said Al Mushaiqri and D. Sulistio, "Digital Narratives and Tradition: The Role of NU Kids Animations in Early Islamic Education," *Al-ATHFAL J EDUC CHILD*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 121-133, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.14421/al-athfal.2024.102-03.
- [4] S. Salsabila, B. Rahmatullah, and H. 'Aziz, "The Digital Teaching Landscape: Investigating the Roles of Interest, Self-Efficacy, and Experience in Shaping TPACK," *Al-ATHFAL J EDUC CHILD*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 141-152, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.14421/al-athfal.2023.92-06.
- [5] E. Yafie, N. Anisa, R. D. T. Maningtyas, T. Iriyanto, N. F. Jumaat, and R. M. Widiasih, "Enhancing Early Childhood Educator's Digital Competencies through AI-Powered Learning Modules (AI-PEL) Training Program," *Al-ATHFAL J EDUC CHILD*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 73-82, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.14421/al-athfal.2024.101-07.
- [6] A. Azimirad, "Pregnancy in adolescence: It is time to get ready for generations Z and Alpha," *gocm*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 71-75, Jun. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.gocm.2023.04.004.
- [7] J. M. Hutajulu, H. Agustiani, and A. S. Setiawan, "Special Characteristics of Alpha Generation Children Behavior in Dentistry: A Literature Review," *Eur J Dent*, vol. 18, no. 03, pp. 743-765, Jul. 2024, doi: 10.1055/s-0043-1776336.
- [8] B. Aksoy and V. A. Cirik, "Nurses' care experiences with generation alpha based on Watson's human care theory," *Journal of Pediatric Nursing*, vol. 80, pp. e48-e57, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.pedn.2024.10.041.
- [9] F. T. Cimene *et al.*, "Generation Alpha Students' Behavior as Digital Natives and their Learning Engagement," Oct. 2024, doi: 10.5281/ZENODO.14007254.
- [10] D. Haykal, H. Cartier, and G. Kroumpouzou, "Educational strategies to combat harmful cosmetic dermatology trends in Generations Alpha and Z," *Clinics in Dermatology*, vol. 42, no. 4, pp. 415-419, Jul. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.clindermatol.2024.05.004.
- [11] A. Oktaviasary and Ai Sutini, "Gempuran Budaya Modern terhadap Budaya Lokal Generasi Alpha: Tinjauan Literatur Review," *Onoma*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 4330-4337, Nov. 2024, doi: 10.30605/onoma.v10i4.4123.

- [12] M. L. Edelstein, J. L. Becraft, K. Gould, and A. Sullivan, "Evaluation of a delay and denial tolerance program to increase appropriate waiting trained via telehealth," *Behavioral Interventions*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 383–396, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.1002/bin.1855.
- [13] B. Li, H. Wang, S. Zhang, Y. Guo, and K. Yu, "Fast and High-Quality Auto-Regressive Speech Synthesis via Speculative Decoding," in *ICASSP 2025 - 2025 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, Hyderabad, India: IEEE, Apr. 2025, pp. 1–5. doi: 10.1109/ICASSP49660.2025.10888194.
- [14] L. Marchesoti, "Synthesizing Speech-guided Face Animation by Low-Rank and Noise-tolerant Active Learning," *IEEE Access*, pp. 1–1, 2024, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3391301.
- [15] L. D. Morison *et al.*, "Beyond 'speech delay': Expanding the phenotype of BRPF1-related disorder," *European Journal of Medical Genetics*, vol. 68, p. 104923, Apr. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.ejmg.2024.104923.
- [16] N. Mufidah, "Exploring influential factors and strategies for addressing speech delay of a child with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) in English (L2) language acquisition," *J. Eng. Foreign. Lang.*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 73–96, Feb. 2024, doi: 10.23971/jevl.v14i1.6580.
- [17] C. Feltner *et al.*, "Screening for Speech and Language Delay and Disorders in Children 5 Years or Younger: Evidence Report and Systematic Review for the US Preventive Services Task Force," *JAMA*, vol. 331, no. 4, p. 335, Jan. 2024, doi: 10.1001/jama.2023.24647.
- [18] D. I. Habsad, R. N. Maharani, S. Darma, A. H. E. Darussalam, and Muh. A. Jafar, "Characteristics of Speech Delay in Children Aged 2-5 Years for the Period January-December 2022 at RSUP Dr. Wahidin Sudirohusodo," *JBT*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 593–599, Mar. 2024, doi: 10.29303/jbt.v24i1.6642.
- [19] C. Stokes, C. Sanker, B. Cogley, and V. Setlur, "From Delays to Densities: Exploring Data Uncertainty through Speech, Text, and Visualization," *Computer Graphics Forum*, vol. 43, no. 3, p. e15100, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.1111/cgf.15100.
- [20] Y. Chen, W. Li, A. S. Hussam, S. Baghaei, and S. Salahshour, "Transforming health and wellness: Exploring the captivating convergence of rehabilitation, exercise, and cutting-edge health gadgets in the rapidly evolving tech-driven world," *Technology in Society*, vol. 81, p. 102808, Jun. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.techsoc.2024.102808.
- [21] H. Alrubaia *et al.*, "The impact of cell phone use after light out on sleep quality, headache, tiredness, and distractibility among high school students: Cross sectional study," *Heliyon*, vol. 11, no. 4, p. e42655, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2025.e42655.
- [22] C. A. Malarvizhi, A. Al Mamun, S. Jayashree, F. Naznen, and T. Abir, "Modelling the significance of social media marketing activities, brand equity and loyalty to predict consumers' willingness to pay premium price for portable tech gadgets," *Heliyon*, vol. 8, no. 8, p. e10145, Aug. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e10145.
- [23] J. F. McManus and S. W. Carvalho, "Consumers' love for technological gadgets is linked to personal growth," *Personality and Individual Differences*, vol. 194, p. 111637, Aug. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2022.111637.
- [24] Md. A. Shabur and Md. R. Siddiki, "Investigating social media's impact on the new era of interactive learning: A case study of Bangladesh," *Heliyon*, vol. 10, no. 4, p. e26234, Feb. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e26234.
- [25] L. Jamir, M. Duggal, R. Nehra, P. Singh, and S. Grover, "Epidemiology of technology addiction among school students in rural India," *Asian Journal of Psychiatry*, vol. 40, pp. 30–38, Feb. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2019.01.009.

- [26] M. Vassar and G. Bradley, "A reliability generalization meta-analysis of coefficient alpha for the Reynolds Adolescent Depression Scale," *Clin Child Psychol Psychiatry*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 519–527, Oct. 2012, doi: 10.1177/1359104511424998.
- [27] S. Bennett, D. R. Gallagher, G. Harman, G. J. Warren, and L. Xi, "Alpha generation in portfolio management: Long-run Australian equity fund evidence," *Australian Journal of Management*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 107–140, Feb. 2016, doi: 10.1177/0312896214539815.
- [28] G. Setyo Widodo and K. Sita Rofiqoh, "Pengembangan Guru Profesional Menghadapi Generasi Alpha," *JIPCB*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 13–22, Mar. 2020, doi: 10.38048/jipcb.v7i1.67.
- [29] M. S. Assingkily, K. Z. Putro, and S. Sirait, "Kearifan Menyikapi Anak Usia Dasar di Era Generasi Alpha (Ditinjau dari Perspektif Fenomenologi)," *at-tadib*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 107–128, Jun. 2019, doi: 10.32832/at-tadib.v3i2.19387.
- [30] N. Fitriah, M. D. K. Degeng, I. N. S. Degeng, and H. Praherdhiono, "Enhancing Early Childhood Financial Literacy Through FinSOLEkid Indonesia: A Comparative Study of Digital Platforms," *Golden Age SCI J ECD*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 171–181, Sep. 2023, doi: 10.14421/jga.2023.83-06.
- [31] K. Maryani, L. Rosidah, and Z. Mutia Yuzandi, "Analysis of Digital Learning Media 'Typing Land' to the Reading Ability at Kak Seto School," *GA SCI J ECD*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 1–12, Mar. 2023, doi: 10.14421/jga.2023.81-01.
- [32] Y. N. Rahmi, S. U. Putri, and F. Dewi, "Readiness of Early Childhood Education (ECE) Teachers in West Java for 21st Century Learning: A Survey Study," *Golden Age SCI J ECD*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 247–255, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.14421/jga.2024.92-06.
- [33] Tafrihah, Y. Utanto, and R. Pristiwati, "Optimizing Digital Literacy Integration in Early Childhood Education: A Case Study of Tarbiyatul Athfal Bulumanis Kidul Kindergarten," *Golden Age SCI J ECD*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 757–770, Dec. 2024, doi: 10.14421/jga.2024.94-14.
- [34] Y. K. W. Widiastuti and Hermanto, "Enhancing Pedagogical Competence in Inclusive Early Childhood Education through Interactive Digital Modules: A Pre-Experimental Study," *Golden Age SCI J ECD*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 465–474, Sep. 2024, doi: 10.14421/jga.2024.93-08.
- [35] N. Hafizah, "Media Pembelajaran Digital Generasi Alpha Era Society 5.0 Pada Kurikulum Merdeka," *AM*, vol. 7, no. 4, p. 1675, Oct. 2023, doi: 10.35931/am.v7i4.2699.
- [36] M. Munawir, F. Alfiana, and S. P. Pambayun, "Menyongsong Masa Depan: Transformasi Karakter Siswa Generasi Alpha Melalui Pendidikan Islam yang Berbasis Al-Qur'an," *AJPGMI*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 1–11, Apr. 2024, doi: 10.54069/attadrib.v7i1.628.
- [37] V. Braun and V. Clarke, "Using thematic analysis in psychology," *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 77–101, Jan. 2006, doi: 10.1191/1478088706qp063oa.
- [38] K. Swargiary, "The Impact of Digital Learning Environments on Cognitive, Social, and Emotional Development in Generation Alpha Children: A Comparative Analysis," 2024, *Elsevier BV*. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.4904338.
- [39] R. R. Kusumalestari, M. A. Oesman, D. Ahmadi, M. Umar, and N. Yulianita, "Parenting styles and digital literacy: Uncovering their correlation among adolescents," *J. Kaji. Komun.*, vol. 11, no. 2, p. 144, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.24198/jkk.v11i2.46658.
- [40] N. Q. Ayunina and Z. Zakiyah, "Islamic Parenting Sebagai Upaya Mendidik Karakter Islami Generasi Alpha," *AJSI*, vol. 3, no. 1, p. 48, May 2022, doi: 10.30595/ajsi.v3i1.11855.
- [41] Wa Ode Yahyu Herliany Yusuf *et al.*, "Pengasuhan Ideal Bagi Generasi Alpha," *ARDHI*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 32–45, Feb. 2024, doi: 10.61132/ardhi.v2i1.105.

- [42] D. J. Ruhiat, I. Muqodas, and R. Justicia, "Pemahaman Orang Tua Muda Terhadap Pendidikan Seksual Untuk Generasi Alpha di Kecamatan Purwakarta," *pelitapaud*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 340–349, Jun. 2023, doi: 10.33222/pelitapaud.v7i2.3035.
- [43] Firda Halawati and Rahmi Hidayati, "Analisis Kemampuan Koneksi Matematis Ditinjau Dari Kesulitan Siswa Menyelesaikan Soal Matematika Pada Generasi Alpha Di Min 7 Kuningan," *JEE*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 1861–1871, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.31949/jee.v6i4.7033.
- [44] R. Rusmiatiningsih and O. Rizkyantha, "Analisis Karakteristik Literasi Generasi Alpha Dan Implikasinya Terhadap Layanan Perpustakaan," *TIK*, vol. 6, no. 2, p. 295, Dec. 2022, doi: 10.29240/tik.v6i2.5134.
- [45] H. K. Kabali *et al.*, "Exposure and Use of Mobile Media Devices by Young Children," *Pediatrics*, vol. 136, no. 6, pp. 1044–1050, Dec. 2015, doi: 10.1542/peds.2015-2151.
- [46] J. L. Epstein, *School, Family, and Community Partnerships: Preparing Educators and Improving Schools*, 2nd ed. Routledge, 2018. doi: 10.4324/9780429494673.
- [47] Y. Sun *et al.*, "Efficacy of a localized caregiver gatekeeper training program for suicide prevention among Chinese adolescents: A pilot study," *Asian Journal of Psychiatry*, vol. 109, p. 104555, Jul. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2025.104555.
- [48] S. Livingstone and A. Blum-Ross, *Parenting for a Digital Future: How Hopes and Fears about Technology Shape Children's Lives*, 1st ed. Oxford University Press New York, 2020. doi: 10.1093/oso/9780190874698.001.0001.
- [49] A. A. N. K. Shiddiq, I. W. Sutama, and W. Astuti, "Advancing 21st-Century Skills in Early Childhood Through the Project-Based Learning Model: Evidence from Children Aged 5–6 Years," *PAUD*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 263–271, Aug. 2024, doi: 10.23887/paud.v12i2.75057.
- [50] Hilda Melani Purba, Humairoh Sakinah Zainuri, M. Falih Daffa, Nurhafizah Nurhafizah, and Yunita Azhari, "Pendidikan Karakter di Era Digital: Tantangan dan Strategi," *JUPENDIS*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 236–246, Jun. 2024, doi: 10.54066/jupendis.v2i3.2038.